

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

09/098,190

Г

06/16/98

SHEATS

•

10980239-1

LM01/0823

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY IP ADMINISTRATION P O BOX 10301 PALO ALTO CA 94303-0890 PIZIALI, J

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2778

DATE MAILED:

08/23/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Advisory Action

Application No. 09/098,190 Applicant(s)

Sheats et al.

Examiner Jeff Piziali Group Art Unit 2778

THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)] a)	•
b)	•
is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection. Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above. Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on	•
date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above. Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on	•
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed on	•
but is NOT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance: The proposed amendment(s): will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief. will not be entered because: they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below). they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below). they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: The proposed amendments to claims 3 and 8 raise the new issue of 'a flexible array of OLEDs.' Prior to samendments, only a flexible transparent sheet had but been claimed. The examiner kindly contends the of 'a flexible array of OLEDs' would require additional consideration and search.	effect,
 will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief. ★ will not be entered because: ★ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below). ★ they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below). ★ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. ★ they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: The proposed amendments to claims 3 and 8 raise the new issue of 'a flexible array of OLEDs.' Prior to samendments, only a flexible transparent sheet had but been claimed. The examiner kindly contends the of 'a flexible array of OLEDs' would require additional consideration and search. 	
 will not be entered because: they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below). they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below). they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: The proposed amendments to claims 3 and 8 raise the new issue of 'a flexible array of OLEDs.' Prior to s amendments, only a flexible transparent sheet had but been claimed. The examiner kindly contends the of 'a flexible array of OLEDs' would require additional consideration and search. 	
 they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below). they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below). they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: The proposed amendments to claims 3 and 8 raise the new issue of 'a flexible array of OLEDs.' Prior to samendments, only a flexible transparent sheet had but been claimed. The examiner kindly contends the of 'a flexible array of OLEDs' would require additional consideration and search. 	
 they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below). they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: The proposed amendments to claims 3 and 8 raise the new issue of 'a flexible array of OLEDs.' Prior to s amendments, only a flexible transparent sheet had but been claimed. The examiner kindly contends the of 'a flexible array of OLEDs' would require additional consideration and search. 	
 they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: The proposed amendments to claims 3 and 8 raise the new issue of 'a flexible array of OLEDs.' Prior to s amendments, only a flexible transparent sheet had but been claimed. The examiner kindly contends the of 'a flexible array of OLEDs' would require additional consideration and search. 	
issues for appeal. they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: The proposed amendments to claims 3 and 8 raise the new issue of 'a flexible array of OLEDs.' Prior to s amendments, only a flexible transparent sheet had but been claimed. The examiner kindly contends the of 'a flexible array of OLEDs' would require additional consideration and search.	
NOTE: The proposed amendments to claims 3 and 8 raise the new issue of 'a flexible array of OLEDs.' Prior to s amendments, only a flexible transparent sheet had but been claimed. The examiner kindly contends the of 'a flexible array of OLEDs' would require additional consideration and search.	
amendments, only a flexible transparent sheet had but been claimed. The examiner kindly contends the of 'a flexible array of OLEDs' would require additional consideration and search.	
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):	
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):	
	·
Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.	d in a
The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:	on
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised because in the final rejection.	y the
X For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):	
Claims allowed: None	
Claims objected to: 4-7 and 9-12	
Claims rejected: 3 and 8	
☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on ☐ has ☐ has not been approved by the Examin	
□ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s) \\	er. /
Other Although the applicant's arguments regarding 'flexible plastic substrate sheets' are noted, the examiner respectfully disagrees. The plastic substrate of Hosokawa et al (5,142,343) would have been understood at the time of invention to include flexible tendencies. Furthermore, it was known in the art that plastic provides cost and weight advantages over some other substrate materials.)r

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-303 (Rev. 8-95)

Advisory Action

Part of Paper No. ___6